

2016-2017 SAC Task Force: Meeting 8

August 29, 2017



Agenda

- Recap – direction given by the task force
- Findings/results
- Determination recommendations
- Credits recap

Recap: Direction from Task Force

- Remove remodels (from outcome of proposed change) with no change of use and no additions
- Eliminate winners and losers – no category should disproportionately pay for another, or benefit from the change
- Reevaluate certain combined categories (e.g., office with shower)
- Apply all adjustments across entire system (no category specific tweaks)

Scenarios

- 1) Correlation between original criteria versus gross square footage criteria
- 2) Remove remodel no change of use from data set and tweak rounding to get to net zero
- 3) Apply multiplication factors based on remodel percent ranges
 - 3a. Apply direct multiplication factor to GSF based on category remodel loss
- 4) Eliminate outliers and/or use average instead of median

Results

- Scenario 1 – did not recover remodel/lost remodel revenue
- Scenario 2 – recovered lost remodel revenue, but there were significant winners and losers
- Scenario 3 – recovered lost remodel revenue, but still winners and losers (although than less than option 2), and multiplication factors diverged from code
- Scenario 4 – most promising: total revenue close to neutral, still some winners and losers (but lowest of all options)

Scenario 4 Recap (Option C)

Statistics	
Total Records Analyzed	3083
% Remodels	27%
Original Number of Categories	39
Proposed Number of Categories	28
Net SAC Units Collected	-291
% Change SAC Collected (Analyzed Categories)	-2.0%
Net SAC Collected – 3 years	-\$723,135
Net SAC Collected Annual	-\$241,045

Combined Categories

Proposed Category	Categories Combined
Beauty Salon	Barber/Beauty Salon Nail Salon
Office	Bank Office
Office/Retail/Warehouse	Office/Cafeteria Office/Retail/Warehouse Office/Warehouse/Cafeteria
Restaurant	Bar Coffee Shop Restaurant
School	College Learn Center Elementary School Secondary School

Example: Restaurant

- Current SAC collected = 4,549 SAC units
- Records analyzed = 854
- Remodels = 192 (22%)
- SAC lost due to remodels = 707 (15%)
- Median GSF/SAC of remaining records (outliers and remodels removed) = 333
- Proposed GSF/SAC = 333 rounds down to nearest 50 = 300
- New SAC collected = 4,374 SAC units
- Net SAC collected = -175 SAC units (-3.8%)
- Current SAC collected per record = $\frac{4,549 \times \$2,485}{854} = \$13,237$
- Change in SAC collected per record = $\frac{-175 \times \$2,485}{854 - 192} = -\657

Top Categories

Business Type	Proposed GSF/SAC	Current SAC Units Collected	Current SAC Collected Per Record	Net SAC Collected Per Record
Clinic	2100	569	\$3,701	-\$764
Office	2650	1413	\$5,126	\$27
Office/Retail/Warehouse	3800	1797	\$6,655	\$415
Restaurant	300	4549	\$13,237	-\$657
Retail	3050	324	\$3,728	\$0
School	1150	1857	\$24,160	\$1,096

Determination Recommendations & Discussion

- Adopt gross square feet criteria per scenario 4, option 3
 - Minimal (<1%) revenue loss across all SAC collected
 - Minimal impact by category
 - Consistent application of analysis across categories
- Ancillary use threshold is 10% for office, warehouse, and retail

Credit Recap/Direction Confirmation & Recommendation

- Proof of prior use/footprint
- Change since 1/1/2009 = no credit if no sac record
 - Long Continuous Use goes away?
- 1/1/2009 is the new grandparent cutoff
 - City must prove 2009 use and GSF, regardless of when change occurred (prior to 1/1/2009)
- Financial impact: ~0.5% of annual SAC revenue

Next Steps

- Finalize report
- Identify public meeting audiences
 - Small business coalitions
 - Metro Cities outreach
 - Four sub-regional meetings (N,S,E,W)
- Schedule and conduct public meetings
- Summarize findings
- Review with Task Force
- Submit to Met Council for approval
- Modify SAC manual for January 1, 2018 implementation