# Comprehensive Water Quality Assessment of Select Metropolitan Area Streams

**NINE MILE CREEK** 



December 2014

# The Council's mission is to foster efficient and economic growth for a prosperous metropolitan region.

#### **Metropolitan Council Members**

Susan Haigh	Chair	Edward Reynoso	District 9
Katie Rodriguez	District 1	Marie McCarthy	District 10
Lona Schreiber	District 2	Sandy Rummel	District 11
Jennifer Munt	District 3	Harry Melander	District 12
Gary Van Eyll	District 4	Richard Kramer	District 13
Steve Elkins	District 5	Jon Commers	District 14
James Brimeyer	District 6	Steven T. Chávez	District 15
Gary L. Cunningham	District 7	Wendy Wulff	District 16
Adam Duininck	District 8		



The Metropolitan Council is the regional planning organization for the seven-county Twin Cities area. The Council operates the regional bus and rail system, collects and treats wastewater, coordinates regional water resources, plans and helps fund regional parks, and administers federal funds that provide housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income individuals and families. The 17-member Council board is appointed by and serves at the pleasure of the governor.

This publication printed on recycled paper.

On request, this publication will be made available in alternative formats to people with disabilities. Call Metropolitan Council information at 651-602-1140 or TTY 651-291-0904.

# **About the Study**

The Twin Cities metropolitan area has a wealth of streams that traverse its landscape and ultimately flow into one of its three major rivers – the Mississippi, the Minnesota, and the St. Croix. These streams provide rich habitat for aquatic life and wildlife and enhance the recreational and aesthetic value of the metro area.

The Metropolitan Council is committed to the conscientious stewardship of the region's streams and works with its partners to maintain and improve their health and function. The foundation for these efforts is the collection and analysis of high-quality data about their condition over time.

The Comprehensive Water Quality Assessment of Select Metropolitan Area Streams is a major study conducted by the Metropolitan Council that examines the water quality of 21 streams or stream segments that discharge into the metropolitan area's major rivers. The study provides a base of technical information that can support sound decisions about water resources in the metro area – decisions by the Council, state agencies, watershed districts, conservation districts, and county and city governments.

All background information, methodologies, and data sources are summarized in *Introduction and Methodologies*, and a glossary and a list of acronyms are included in *Glossary and Acronyms*. Both of these, as well as individual sections for each of the 21 streams, are available for separate download from the report website. The staff of Metropolitan Council Environmental Services (MCES) and local partners conducted the stream monitoring work, while MCES staff performed the data analyses, compiled the results and prepared the report.

## **About This Section**

This section of the report, *Nine Mile Creek*, is one in a series produced as part of the *Comprehensive Water Quality Assessment of Select Metropolitan Area Streams*. Located in Hennepin County, Nine Mile Creek is one of the nine Minnesota River tributaries examined. This section discusses a wide range of factors that have affected the condition and water quality of the Nine Mile Creek.

## **Cover Photo**

The photo on the cover of this section depicts Nine Mile Creek downstream of the MCES monitoring site. It was taken by Metropolitan Council staff.

### **Recommended Citations**

Please use the following to cite this section of the report:

Metropolitan Council. 2014. *Nine Mile Creek*. In *Comprehensive water quality assessment of select metropolitan area streams*. St. Paul: Metropolitan Council.

Please use the following to cite the entire report:

Metropolitan Council. 2014. *Comprehensive water quality assessment of select metropolitan area streams*. St. Paul: Metropolitan Council.

### Contents

Introduction	1
Partnerships	1
Monitoring Station Description	1
Stream and Watershed Description	2
Water Quality Impairments	6
Hydrology	8
Vulnerability of Stream to Groundwater Withdrawals	10
Pollutant Loads	10
Flow and Load Duration Curves	18
Aquatic Life Assessment Based on Macroinvertebrates	21
Trend Analysis	25
Comparison with Other Metro Area Streams	29
Conclusions	40
Recommendations	40
Citations	42

# Figures

Figure NM-1: Nine Mile Creek Hybrid Land Cover 4
Figure NM-2: Nine Mile Creek Watershed Topography5
Figure NM-3: Nine Mile Creek Public and Impaired Waters and Potential Pollutant Sources 7
Figure NM-4: Nine Mile Creek Daily Average Flow, Sample Flow, and Precipitation, 1989- 2012
Figure NM-5: Nine Mile Creek Annual Mass Load, 1990-201212
Figure NM-6: Nine Mile Creek Annual Flow-Weighted Mean Concentrations, 1990-201213
Figure NM-7: Nine Mile Creek Annual Areal-Weighted Load, 1990-201214
Figure NM-8: Nine Mile Creek Precipitation-Weighted Areal Load, 1990-201215
Figure NM-9: Nine Mile Creek Mass Load by Month16
Figure NM-10: Nine Mile Creek Flow-Weighted Mean Concentration by Month17
Figure NM-11: Nine Mile Creek Flow and Load Duration Curves, 1990-201220
Figure NM-12: Nine Mile Creek Annual Family Biotic Index (FBI) Scores, 2003-201122
Figure NM-13: Nine Mile Creek Percent Abundance of Pollution Intolerant Taxa, 2003-201123
Figure NM-14: Nine Mile Creek Percent Abundance of POET Taxa, 2003-201124
Figure NM-15: Nine Mile Creek Annual Macroinvertebrate Index of Biological Integrity (M-IBI) Scores, 2005-2011

Figure NM-16: Nine Mile Creek Trends for TSS, TP, and NO <sub>3</sub> , 1990 to 2012	28
Figure NM-17: General Schematic of a Box-and-Whisker Plot	29
Figure NM-18: Total Suspended Solids for MCES-Monitored Streams, 2003-2012	31
Figure NM-19: Total Phosphorus for MCES-Monitored Streams, 2003-2012	32
Figure NM-20: Nitrate for MCES-Monitored Streams, 2003-2012	33
Figure NM-21: Chloride for MCES-Monitored Streams, 2003-2012	34
Figure NM-22: M-IBI Results for MCES-Monitored Streams, 2004-2011	36
Figure NM-23: Estimated Trends in Flow-Adjusted Stream Concentrations of TSS, TP, an $NO_3$ in the Seven-County Metropolitan Area, 2008-2012	d 38
Figure NM-24 <sup>-</sup> Regional Maps of Estimated Trends in Flow-Adjusted Stream Concentration	ons

Figure NM-24: Regional Maps of Estimated Trends in Flow-Adjusted Stream Concentrations of TSS, TP, and  $NO_3$  in the Seven-County Metropolitan Area, 2008-2012......39

### **Tables**

Table NM-1: Nine Mile Creek Land Cover Classes <sup>1</sup> 2
Table NM-2: Impaired Reaches of Nine Mile Creek as Identified on the MPCA 2014 Impaired         Waters List
Table NM-3: Impaired Lakes in the Nine Mile Creek Watershed as Identified on the MPCA2014 Impaired Waters List6
Table NM-4: Nine Mile Creek Beneficial Use and River Nutrient Region (RNR) Classifications         and Pollutant Draft Standards
Table NM-5: Annual Median Concentrations, Loads, and Yields for MCES-Monitored         Streams, 2003-2012

# Introduction

Nine Mile Creek is located in the southern metropolitan area and is a tributary to the Minnesota River. It drains approximately 50 square miles of mixed land cover including open space, bluff land, and urban areas (portions of the cities of Edina, Eden Prairie, Minnetonka, Hopkins, Richfield, and Bloomington) in Hennepin County.

This report:

- documents those characteristics of Nine Mile Creek and its watershed most likely to influence stream flow and water quality.
- presents the results from assessments of flow, water quality, and biological data.
- presents statistical assessments of trends in stream chemistry concentrations.
- draws conclusions about possible effects of landscape features, climatological changes, and human activities on flow and water quality.
- compares Nine Mile Creek flow and water quality with other streams within the metropolitan area monitored by Metropolitan Council Environmental Services (MCES).
- makes watershed-specific recommendations for future monitoring and assessment activities, partnerships, and other potential actions to remediate any water quality or flow concerns.

MCES plans to update this report approximately every 10 years, in addition to issuing annual data summary reports.

## **Partnerships**

MCES has fully financed water quality monitoring of the station on Nine Mile Creek since 1989. MCES staff maintains the rating curve and operates the monitoring station.

## **Monitoring Station Description**

The monitoring station is located on Nine Mile Creek in Bloomington, Minnesota, about 1.8 miles upstream from the creek's confluence with the Minnesota River.

The monitoring station includes continuous flow monitoring, event-based composite sample collection, and on-site conductivity and temperature probes. The Nine Mile Creek station also includes an in-stream turbidity sensor (Forest Technology Systems DTS-12). There is no rain gauge at this station; however precipitation data are available from the Minnesota Climatology Working Group, MSP Airport Station Number 215435. Daily precipitation totals from this station were used to create the hydrograph in the *Hydrology* section of this report. For the analysis of precipitation-weighted loads, MCES used the Minnesota Climatological Working Group's monthly 10-kilometer gridded precipitation data to represent the variability of rainfall within the watershed (Minnesota Climatology Working Group, 2013). These data are generated from Minnesota's HIDEN (High Spatial Density Precipitation Network) dataset. The gridded data was aerially-weighted based on the watershed boundaries.

## **Stream and Watershed Description**

Nine Mile Creek drains portions of the Cities of Hopkins, Minnetonka, Eden Prairie, Edina, Richfield, and Bloomington, which are encompassed by Metropolitan Council Districts 3 and 5. The watershed also includes Bryant Lake and Hyland-Bush-Anderson Lakes Regional Parks. The creek flows through the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge before entering the Minnesota River.

Nine Mile Creek consists of the North Branch (also referred to as the main stem), with headwaters in the City of Hopkins and a total length of approximately 15 miles, and the South Branch, with headwaters in the City of Minnetonka and length of 8.5 miles. The two branches join south of I-494, immediately upstream of Normandale Lake. The Nine Mile Creek Watershed District (NMCWD) notes that the creek's name came not from its length, but from the distance early settlers had to travel from Fort Snelling to the creek crossing along Old Shakopee Road.

The NMCWD, established under MN Statute 103D, provides water resources management within the district boundaries (which approximately follow the physical watershed boundaries) through completion of stormwater best management practices and stream channel restoration projects, cost share grants, rules/permitting system, public education, and additional monitoring.

The Nine Mile Creek watershed is a total of 31,555 acres, with 28,784 acres (91.2%) of the watershed upstream of the monitoring station. The watershed is completely developed, with 20,308 acres/64.4% (18,637 acres/64.7% within the monitored area) developed urban land and

Land Caver Class	Monitored		Unmonitored		Total	
Land Cover Class	Acres	Percent	Acres	Acres Percent		Percent
5-10% Impervious	241	0.8%	6	0.2%	247	0.8%
11-25% Impervious	651	2.3%	0	0.0%	651	2.1%
26-50% Impervious	6,198	21.5%	813	29.4%	7,011	22.2%
51-75% Impervious	3,857	13.4%	527	19.0%	4,384	13.9%
76-100% Impervious	7,691	26.7%	325	11.7%	8,015	25.4%
Agricultural Land	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Forest (all types)	2,870	10.0%	223	8.0%	3,093	9.8%
Open Water	877	3.0%	15	0.5%	892	2.8%
Barren Land	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shrubland	8	<0.1%	0	0.0%	8	<0.1%
Grasses/Herbaceous	3,220	11.2%	413	14.9%	3,632	11.5%
Wetlands (all types)	3,172	11.0%	449	16.2%	3,622	11.5%
Total	28,784	100.0%	2,771	100.0%	31,555	100.0%

<sup>1</sup> Land cover spatial data file provided by MnDNR. The data is a composite of the 2008 MLCCS (Minnesota Land Cover Classification System), which covered primarily the 7-county metro area; and the 2001 NLCD (National Land Cover Data), which covered the outstate areas not included in the 2008 MLCCS.

no agricultural land. The remaining land cover is primarily a mixture of forest, grasses/herbaceous, and wetlands (Figure NM-1; Table NM-1).

The watershed is fairly evenly urbanized except for the open space of the Hyland Lake Park Reserve in the southwestern portion of the watershed. Several major roads are also present in the watershed, including portions of Interstates 494 and 35W, US 212, US 169, TH 62 and TH 100.

The watershed is fairly hilly in the west and southwestern end moraine portions (Figure NM-2). Near the watershed outlet the topography becomes more gradual before entering the Minnesota River Valley through a fairly steep ravine. The maximum watershed elevation is 1121.4 MSL and the minimum elevation is 716.0 MSL within the monitored area. Within the monitored area 7.2% of the slopes are considered steep, and an additional 3.8% are considered very steep. Steep slopes are those between 12-18%, and very steep slopes are those 18% or greater (MnDNR, 2011).

The watershed includes a number of lakes and wetlands. Shady Oak, Glen, Anderson, and Bush Lakes are relatively large but offline of the creek channel. The South Branch flows through Bryant Lake, Smetana Lake, and a number of small wetlands. The North Branch (the Nine Mile mainstem) flows through a number of small wetlands. After the convergence of the two branches, the creek flows through Normandale Lake and Marsh Lake, before discharging to the Minnesota River.

There are few point sources within the Nine Mile Creek watershed (Figure NM-3). The watershed contains five cooling water, potable water, and dewatering facilities holding NPDES discharge permits. The watershed also contains thirteen sites holding industrial stormwater permits. All permit holders are within the monitored part of the watershed. There are no industrial or domestic wastewater facilities in the watershed. There are no permitted feedlots in the watershed.

The NMCWD and its partners have completed a number of significant water quality and flood improvement projects and studies within the watershed, including:

- Nine Mile Creek Lower Valley/Harrison Park. This project restored the creek channel and stabilized erosion. The restoration effort was precipitated by a flood event in 1987, with additional stabilization done in 2008/2009 (NMCWD, n.d.-a).
- Normandale Lake and Marsh Lake Dam Flood Control. The Marsh Lake Dam was constructed in 1970 and Normandale Lake was created in 1979. Both impoundments provide flood control and water quality benefits (NMCWD, n.d.-b).
- Bryant Lake Alum Treatment. Bryant Lake was treated with alum to reduce internal phosphorus load in 2008 (NMCWD, n.d.-c).
- Operation of additional monitoring stations upstream of the MCES station. These stations, on the North and South Branches, provide continuous flow, baseflow grab, and event-based composite samples, similar to the MCES sampling program.



# Figure NM-1

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

### MLCCS-NLCD Hybrid Land Cover Nine Mile Creek

- MCES Stream Monitoring Sites
- USGS Flow Stations
- Mainstems (Monitored and Unmonitored)
- Major Mainstem Tributaries
- Monitored Watershed Boundaries
- Unmonitored Portion of Watersheds
  - Street Centerlines (NCompass, 2012)

![](_page_8_Figure_11.jpeg)

City and Township Boundaries

#### MLCCS-NLCD Hybrid Land Cover

![](_page_8_Figure_14.jpeg)

Wetlands (open water, forest, shrub and emergent)

Data Source: MnDNR

MLSSC/NLCD Hybrid						
Nine Mile Creek						
	Monitored		Unmonitored		Total	
Land Cover Class	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent
5-10% Impervious	241	0.8%	6	0.2%	247	0.8%
11-25% Impervious	651	2.3%	0	0.0%	651	2.1%
26-50% Impervious	6,198	21.5%	813	29.4%	7,011	22.2%
51-75% Impervious	3,857	13.4%	527	19.0%	4,384	13.9%
76-100% Impervious	7,691	26.7%	325	11.7%	8,015	25.4%
Agricultural Land	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Forest (all types)	2,870	10.0%	223	8.0%	3,093	9.8%
Open Water	877	3.0%	15	0.5%	892	2.8%
Barren Land	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shrubland	8	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	0.0%
Grasses/Herbaceous	3,220	11.2%	413	14.9%	3,632	11.5%
Wetlands (all types)	3,172	11.0%	449	16.2%	3,622	11.5%
Total	28,784	100.0%	2,771	100.0%	31,555	100.0%

![](_page_8_Picture_18.jpeg)

1

2

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

Watershed Topography Nine Mile Creek

- MCES Stream Monitoring Sites
- USGS Flow Stations
- Stream Mile Markers
- Mainstems (Monitored and Unmonitored)
- Monitored Watershed Boundaries
- Unmonitored Watershed Areas
- Public Waters Inventory
- ----- Other Rivers and Streams
- City and Township Boundaries
- County Boundary
  - NCompass Street Centerlines, 2012

### Elevation Feet Above Mean Sea Level High : 1594 1400 1200 1000 800 Low : 643

Source: USGS National Elevation Dataset, 1/3 arc-second, 10-meter resolution

![](_page_9_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_18.jpeg)

## Water Quality Impairments

The entire reach of Nine Mile Creek has been listed as impaired (MPCA 2014 Impaired Waters List) for aquatic life based on chloride concentration and the fisheries bioassessments (Table NM-2, Figure NM-3). The creek was previously listed as impaired for turbidity but was delisted in 2010, likely due to decreasing sediment concentrations resulting from numerous stream improvement projects completed by the Nine Mile Creek Watershed District.

Table NM-2: Impaired Reaches of Nine Mile	Creek as	Identified o	on the N	<b>IPCA 20</b>	14 Impai	ired
Wa	ters List				-	

Reach Name	Reach Description	Reach ID	Water Quality Imairment <sup>1</sup>	Approved Plan <sup>2</sup>	Needs Plan <sup>2</sup>
Nine Mile Creek	Headwaters to Minnesota R	07020012-518	AQL	T (stream was delisted in 2010) Cl	F-IBI
<sup>1</sup> AQL = Aquatic Life <sup>2</sup> T = Turbidity; CI = Chloride; F-IBI = Fisheries Bioassessments					

Four lakes in the Nine Mile Creek watershed (Cornelia, Edina, Rose, and Wing) are impaired for aquatic recreation based on nutrient concentrations, two lakes (Bush and Smetana) are impaired for aquatic consumption based on mercury and are covered by the statewide mercury TMDL, and Bryant Lake is impaired for both.

# Table NM-3: Impaired Lakes in the Nine Mile Creek Watershed as Identified on the MPCA 2014 Impaired Waters List

Lake Name	Lake ID	Water Quality Impairment <sup>1</sup>	Approved Plan <sup>2</sup>	Needs Plan
Bryant	27-0067-00	AQC, AQR	HgF	Nutrients
Bush	27-0047-00	AQC	HgF	
Cornelia (North)	27-0028-01	AQR		Nutrients
Edina	27-0029-00	AQR		Nutrients
Rose	27-0092-00	AQR		Nutrients
Smetana	27-0073-00	AQC	HgF	
Wing	27-0091-00	AQR		Nutrients
1				

<sup>1</sup> AQC = Aquatic Consumption; AQR = Aquatic Recreation;

<sup>2</sup> HgF = Mercury in Fish Tissue;

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Figure NM-3

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Public and Impaired Waters and Potential Pollution Sources Nine Mile Creek

۲	MCES Stream Monitoring Sites
۲	USGS Flow Stations
~~~	Mainstems (Monitored and Unmonitored)
ස	Monitored Watershed Boundaries
$\mathfrak{s}$	Unmonitored Portion of Watersheds
Indu	strial Discharges **
<b>♦</b>	Industrial Stormwater
•	Industrial & Individual Wastewater
C	Cooling, Potable Treatment & Dewatering
Dom	estic Wastewater Discharges **
	Class A
	Class B
	Class C
	Class D
$\bigtriangleup$	Class Unknown
Feed	llots with 100 or more animal units **
•	100 - 249
٠	250 - 499
•	500 - 999
	1000 or more
D	Impaired Lakes (2014 Draft MPCA 303(d) List) **
	Impaired Streams (2014 Draft MPCA 303(d) List) **
~~~	Other Rivers and Streams *
	Lakes and Other Open Water (PWI) *
~	Vetlands (PVVI)
	NCompass Street Centerlines, 2013
	County Boundary
	City and Township Boundaries
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Data Sources: \* MN DNR, \*\* MPCA, \*\*\* MN DOT

#### Extent of Main Map

![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Hydrology

MCES has monitored flow on Nine Mile Creek in Bloomington, Minnesota, since 1989. Flow measurements were collected at 15-minute intervals and converted to daily averages. The hydrograph of Nine Mile Creek, which displays daily average flow, daily precipitation, and the flow associated with grab and composite samples, indicates the variations in flow rates from season to season and from year to year, and the effect of precipitation events on flow (Figure NM-4).

The MCES sampling program specifies collection of baseflow grab samples between events and event-composite samples. The hydrograph indicates samples were collected during most events and that base flow was also adequately sampled.

Analysis of the duration of daily average flows indicates that the upper 10<sup>th</sup> percentile flows for the period 1990-2012 ranged between approximately 57.5-383.4 cubic feet per second (cfs), while the lowest 10<sup>th</sup> percentile flows ranged from 0.1-2.0 cfs. (See Figure NM-11 in the *Flow and Load Duration Curves* section of this report.)

Additional annual flow/volume metrics are shown on Figures NM-5 to NM-8, along with the annual pollutant load parameters. The first graph on each sheet illustrates an annual flow metric consisting of 1) average annual flow (a measure of annual flow volume); 2) areal-weighted flow; and 3) the fraction of annual precipitation ending up as flow.

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

<sup>\*</sup>Precipitation record was acquired from NWS COOP station 215435-Minneapolis/St. Paul AP

## **Vulnerability of Stream to Groundwater Withdrawals**

Regional analysis (Metropolitan Council, 2010) of hydrogeologic conditions in the seven-county metropolitan area suggests that some surface water features are in direct connection with the underlying regional groundwater flow system and may be impacted by groundwater pumping. While regional in nature, this analysis serves as a screening tool to increase awareness about the risk that groundwater pumping may have for surface water protection and to direct local resources toward monitoring and managing the surface waters most likely to be impacted by groundwater pumping. Additional information, including assumptions and analytical methodologies, can be found in the 2010 report.

To assess the vulnerability of Nine Mile Creek to groundwater withdrawals, MCES staff examined spatial datasets of vulnerable stream segments and basins created as part of the 2010 regional groundwater analysis. Most of the Nine Mile Creek stream segments were identified as potentially vulnerable to groundwater withdrawals. Many of the basins within the watershed were also identified as potentially vulnerable to groundwater withdrawals, including Glen Lake, Shady Oak Lake, Birch Island Lake, Bryant Lake, Round Lake, Smetana Lake, Lake Cornelia, Girard Lake, Penn Lake, Oxboro Lake, Arrowhead Lake, Indianhead Lake, Bredesen Park Wetland, and Marsh Lake, plus a number of smaller, unnamed wetlands.

MCES is continuing to evaluate the effects of groundwater withdrawal on surface waters, including updating analyses with the best available data and linking results to predictive groundwater modeling and the comprehensive planning process involving local communities.

## **Pollutant Loads**

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers program Flux32 (Walker, 1999) was used to convert daily average flow, coupled with grab and event-composite sample concentrations, into annual and monthly loads and flow-weighted mean concentrations. Loads were estimated for total suspended solids (TSS), total phosphorus (TP), total dissolved phosphorus (TDP), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>), ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>), and chloride (CI) for each year of monitored data in Nine Mile Creek (1990-2012). Note that chloride monitoring began in 1999; therefore CI results are presented for the period 1999-2012.

Figures NM-5 through NM-8 illustrate annual loads expressed as mass, as flow-weighted mean (FWM) concentration, as mass-per-unit area (lb/ac), and as mass-per-unit area-per inch of precipitation (lb/ac/in), as well as two hydrological metrics (annual average flow rate and fraction of annual precipitation as flow). A later section in this report (*Comparison with Other Metro Area Streams*) offers graphical comparison of the Nine Mile Creek loads and FWM concentrations with those of the other MCES-monitored metropolitan area tributaries.

The flow metrics indicate year-to-year variability in annual flow rate that is likely driven by variation in annual precipitation amount as well as by variation in frequency of intense storm events. The fraction of annual precipitation delivered as flow is relatively stable between years; year-to-year variation is likely influenced by low soil moisture during dry periods, by increased capacity in upland storage areas during drought periods, and other factors. The highest average annual flow, and thus the highest volume of flow, occurred in 1998 (approximately 45.7 cfs average annual flow); the lowest average annual flow and lowest volume of flow occurred in 2009 (approximately 13.6 cfs average annual flow). The mean average annual flow for 1990-2012 was 24.0 cfs.

Comprehensive Water Quality Assessment of Select Metropolitan Area Streams | Metropolitan Council

The annual mass loads for all parameters exhibited significant year-to-year variation, indicating the influence of precipitation and flow on the transport of pollutants within the watershed and stream. Notable is the apparent decrease in TSS load after 1993. This decrease is likely due to the completion of the Lower Valley Project in 1991, which repaired and stabilized stream banks along the channel in the lower part of the watershed, but upstream of the monitoring station. Further repairs were made in this area in 2009.

The annual FWM concentrations for all parameters also fluctuated year-to-year, although to a lesser extent than loads; and were also likely influenced by annual precipitation and flow. The TSS concentrations followed the same trend exhibited by the annual loads, with a decrease after 1993.

Figures NM-7 and NM-8 present the areal and precipitation-weighted loads, respectively. These graphics are presented to assist local partners and watershed managers, and will not be discussed here.

The Flux32 loads and FWM concentrations were also compiled by month to allow analysis of time based patterns in the loads in Nine Mile Creek (Figures NM-9 and NM-10). The results for each month are expressed in two ways: the monthly results for the most recent year of data (2012 for Nine Mile Creek) and the monthly average for 2003-2012 (with a bar indicating the maximum and minimum value for that month).

The highest mass loads for most parameters in Nine Mile Creek occurred in spring (March-May) of each year, likely due to the effects of snow melt and spring rains. Secondary load pulses often occurred in August, September, or October and were likely due to thunderstorms and the relatively high percentage of impervious area in the watershed. Construction projects may also have played a role in these months. The FWM concentrations generally showed less month-to-month variability than the loads. CI loads were highest in March, and concentrations were highest from January through March, likely reflecting the impact of road de-icers applied during winter months.

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

<sup>\*</sup>First full year of sampling for TSS, TP, TDP, NO3, and NH3 began in 1990, Cl began in 1999. Bars represent 95% confidence intervals as calculated in Flux32.

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

<sup>\*</sup>First full year of sampling for TSS, TP, TDP, NO3, and NH3 began in 1990, CI began in 1999.

Annual flow (cfs)

TSS (mg/l)

TP (mg/l)

TDP (mg/l)

NO<sub>3</sub> (mg/l)

(

NH<sub>3</sub> (mg/l)

CI (mg/l)

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

\*First full year of sampling for TSS, TP, TDP, NO3, and NH3 began in 1990, CI began in 1999.

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

<sup>\*</sup>First full year of sampling for TSS, TP, TDP, NO3, and NH3 began in 1990, CI began in 1999.

TP (lb/acre/inch)

Runoff Ratio

TSS (lb/acre/inch)

F

TDP (lb/acre/inch)

NO<sub>3</sub> (Ib/acre/inch)

NH<sub>3</sub> (lb/acre/inch)

Cl (lb/acre/inch)

# Figure NM–9: Nine Mile Creek Mass Load by Month

Most Recent Year (2012) of Data Compared to 2003-2012 Average

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Figure NM–10: Nine Mile Creek Flow–Weighted Mean Concentation by Month

Most Recent Year (2012) of Data Compared to 2003-2012 Average

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

TSS (mg/l)

Monthly Flow (cfs)

TP (mg/l)

TDP (mg/l)

NO<sub>3</sub> (mg/l)

NH<sub>3</sub> (mg/l)

CI (mg/l)

## Flow and Load Duration Curves

Load duration curves are frequently used to assess water quality concentrations occurring at different flow regimes within a stream or river (high flow, moist conditions, mid-range, dry conditions, and low flow). The curves can also be used to provide a visual display of the frequency, magnitude, and flow regime of water quality standard exceedances if standard concentrations are added to the plots (USEPA, 2007).

MCES developed flow and load duration curves for each stream location using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) recommendations, including:

- Develop flow duration curves using average daily flow values for the entire period of record plotted against percent of time that flow is exceeded during the period of record.
- Divide the flow data into five zones: high flows (0-10% exceedance frequency); moist conditions (10-40%); mid-range flows (40-60%); dry conditions (60-90%); and low flows (90-100%). Midpoints of each zone represent the 5<sup>th</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, 75<sup>th</sup>, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles, respectively.
- Multiply concentration and flow for each sampling event for period of record, to result in approximate daily mass loads included on the curve as points.
- Multiply water quality standard concentration and monitored flow to form a line indicating allowable load. Sample load points falling below the line meet the standard; those falling above the line exceed the standard.

The final load duration curves provide a visual tool to assess if standard exceedances are occurring, and if so, at which flow regimes.

MCES selected four parameters to assess using load duration curves: TSS, TP, NO<sub>3</sub>, and Cl. Each of the parameters was plotted using Nine Mile Creek monitoring station daily average flows and sample data, along with the most appropriate MPCA draft numerical standard as listed in Table NM-4. No draft standard has been set for NO<sub>3</sub>, so MCES used the drinking water standard of 10 mg/l.

Most of the draft standards proposed by MPCA have accompanying criteria that are difficult to show on the load duration curves. For example, for a water body to violate the draft TP river standard, the water body must exceed the causative variable (TP concentration), as well as one or more response variables: sestonic (suspended) chlorophyll, biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>), dissolved oxygen (DO) flux, and/or pH (MPCA, 2013a). Thus for this report, the load duration curves are used as a general guide to identify flow regimes at which water quality violations may occur. The MPCA is responsible for identifying and listing those waters not meeting water quality standards; the results of this report in no way supersede MPCA's authority or process.

The 1990–2012 flow duration curve and load duration curves for TSS, TP, NO<sub>3</sub>, and CI for the Nine Mile Creek monitoring station (mile 1.8) are shown in Figure NM-11.

TSS concentrations have remained below the draft standard at low flow; the draft standard was exceeded several times at dry conditions and mid-range flows; during moist conditions about half of the samples exceeded the standard, and at high flow conditions most of the samples

exceeded the draft standard. This response is consistent with other streams in the Minnesota River watershed, where high flows lead to streambank, bluff, and ravine erosion.

For TP, there were a few exceedances of the draft nutrient criteria at low flow, dry conditions, and mid-range flows; more than half of the samples exceeded the criteria during moist conditions, and most of the samples exceeded it at high flows.

Almost all  $NO_3$  concentrations at all flow regimes met the drinking water standard of 10 mg/l. The final river nutrient standard for nitrate will likely be much less than this, and may be exceeded at the higher flow regimes.

CI concentrations in Nine Mile Creek exceeded the draft CI standard a few times at all flow regimes. As stated previously, there are several freeways and highways, as well as many local roads, in the Nine Mile Creek watershed. The high CI loads may be due to salt applied for winter road, sidewalk, and parking lot deicing in the watershed.

#### Table NM-4: Nine Mile Creek Beneficial Use and River Nutrient Region (RNR) Classifications and Pollutant Draft Standards

Monitoring Station	Use Classification <sup>1</sup> for Domestic Consumption (Class 1) and Aquatic Life and Recreation (Class 2)	River Nutrient Region (RNR) <sup>2</sup> of Monitoring Station	Chloride Draft Stnd <sup>3</sup> (mg/l)	TSS Draft Stnd <sup>4</sup> (mg/l)	TP Draft Criteria <sup>5</sup> (ug/l)	Nitrate DW Stnd <sup>6</sup> (mg/l)
Nine Mile Creek below 106 <sup>th</sup> St. (NM1.8)	2B	Central	230	30	100	10

<sup>1</sup> Minn. Rules 7050.0470 and 7050.0430

<sup>2</sup> MPCA, 2010.

<sup>3</sup> Mark Tomasek, MPCA, personal communication, March 2013. MCES used 230 mg/l as the draft chloride standard pending results of EPA toxicity tests.

<sup>4</sup> MPCA, 2011. Draft standard states TSS standard concentration for Class 2A and 2B water must not be exceeded more than 10% of the time over a multiyear data window, with an assessment period of April through September.

<sup>5</sup> MPCA, 2013a. To violate standard, concentration of causative variable (TP) must be exceeded, as well as one or more response variables: sestonic chlorophyll, BOD<sub>5</sub>, DO flux, and/or pH.

<sup>6</sup> MCES used the nitrate drinking water standard of 10 mg/l pending results of EPA toxicity tests and establishment of a draft nitrate standard for rivers and streams.

#### Figure NM-11: Nine Mile Creek Flow and Load Duration Curves, 1990-2012

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

## **Aquatic Life Assessment Based on Macroinvertebrates**

Macroinvertebrates, including aquatic insects, worms, snails, crustaceans, and bivalves, are important indicators of water quality. Different types of macroinvertebrates have differing sensitivities to changes in pollution levels, habitat, flows, energy, and biotic interactions. As these environmental attributes change over time, they shape the composition of the macroinvertebrate community. Metrics have been developed that relate these community shifts with human-caused stresses.

Each metric is independently important and clarifies one aspect of the ecosystem health: species richness, community diversity, water quality, and other factors. The results may have conflicting conclusions when comparing the single metric results. However, integrating the individual metrics into a multi-metric analysis provides a holistic assessment of the stream system.

MCES has been sampling for macroinvertebrates in Nine Mile Creek since 2003. The entire dataset was analyzed with three metrics: Family Biotic Index (FBI), Percent Intolerant Taxa, and Percent POET Taxa. A subset of data, 2004-2009 and 2011, was analyzed using the multimetric, Minnesota-specific, MPCA 2014 Macroinvertebrate Index of Biological Integrity (M-IBI).

## Family Biotic Index (FBI)

FBI is a commonly used water quality assessment. Each family is assigned a tolerance value that describes its ability to tolerate organic pollution. The values range from 0 to 10; zero is intolerant to pollution, ten is quite tolerant of pollution. The tolerance values are used to calculate a weighted average tolerance value for the sample, allowing for comparisons from year to year. The Nine Mile Creek FBI scores show very good water quality (for years 2003, 2007-2011) to good water quality (2005, 2006), indicating the possible presence of organic (oxygen demanding) pollution (Figure NM-12).

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure NM-12: Nine Mile Creek Annual Family Biotic Index (FBI) Scores, 2003-2011

### Percent Intolerant Taxa

The Percent Intolerant Taxa is another assessment to evaluate the degree of pollution at the monitoring reach. This metric identifies the percent of taxa with a tolerance value of two or less (Figure NM-13). The presence of moderate numbers of intolerant taxa is an indicator of good aquatic health (Chirhart, 2003). There were no intolerant taxa present in any Nine Mile Creek sample collected during the period of record. These results are inconsistent with the FBI scores, and suggest that pollutants may be consistently present in the stream system.

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure NM-13: Nine Mile Creek Percent Abundance of Pollution Intolerant Taxa, 2003-2011

## Percent POET Taxa

The taxonomic richness metric, Percent POET Taxa (Figure NM-14), is the percent of individuals in the sample which belong to the orders <u>P</u>lecoptera (stoneflies), <u>O</u>donata (dragonflies and damselflies), <u>E</u>phemeroptera (mayflies), and <u>T</u>richoptera (caddisflies). Individuals in these orders vary in sensitivity to organic pollution and sedimentation. High percent POET values indicate high community diversity due to good water quality. The percent POET taxa value was highest in 2007 at 85%, and lowest in 2006 at 44%. No Plecoptera were found in Nine Mile Creek in any of the years sampled.

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure NM-14: Nine Mile Creek Percent Abundance of POET Taxa, 2003-2011

## Macroinvertebrate Index of Biotic Integrity (M-IBI)

The M-IBI score integrates community richness and composition, pollution tolerance, life histories, trophic interactions, and physical and other parameters that all are components of the biological integrity of the stream. These composite scores are usually shown in context with a threshold value and confidence levels to aid in the assessment of the water quality. If the value for a given year is above the threshold of impairment and the upper confidence level, it can confidently be said the site is not impaired. Conversely, if the value is below the threshold of impairment and below the lower confidence level, it can be said the site is likely to be impaired.

All six years of monitoring Nine Mile Creek resulted in M-IBI scores below the impairment threshold (Figure NM-15). In 2007, the M-IBI score was below the lower confidence level. This suggests the stream reach during that year may not have been able to sustain the needs of aquatic life.

The M-IBI scores in 2005-2006, 2008-2009, and 2011 were between the threshold of impairment and the lower confidence level. When the scores fall between the confidence levels, it is difficult to confidently assess the water quality by biological assessment alone. It is necessary to incorporate other monitoring information, such as hydrology, water chemistry and land use change (MPCA, 2014b).

Understanding the physical and chemical influences on M-IBI scores leads to a more complete assessment of water quality. When plausible physical or chemical explanations exist for M-IBI scores between the confidence levels, these scores may be assigned more or less weight in the final evaluation.

Nine Mile Creek has a highly impervious watershed. The stream hydrology is flashy; storm runoff quickly flows into the stream, the storm hydrograph peaks rapidly and flow recedes quickly after the storm. This flow regime flushes macroinvertebrates and alters community composition. Additionally, the storm runoff carries a higher pollutant load which can reduce the number of pollution intolerant individuals (Carlisle *et al.*, 2013).

The most recent M-IBI scores, 2008, 2009, and 2011, are near or at the lower confidence level. Most likely, stressors are negatively affecting the macroinvertebrate community. MCES is planning additional future analysis to fully investigate our biological monitoring data.

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Figure NM-15: Nine Mile Creek Annual Macroinvertebrate Index of Biological Integrity (M-IBI) Scores, 2005-2011

# **Trend Analysis**

Trend analysis was completed for the historical record of TSS, TP, and NO<sub>3</sub> using the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) program QWTREND (Vecchia, 2003). QWTREND removes the variability of annual flow from the statistical analysis, thus any trend identified should be independent of flow.

Due to relatively short flow record for the monitored streams, MCES did not attempt to assess increases or decreases in flow. However other researchers have performed regional assessments of variations in flow rate; their results can be used to form general assumptions about changes in flows in the metropolitan area streams.

Novotny and Stefan (2007) assessed flows from 36 USGS monitoring stations across Minnesota over a period of from 10 to 90 years, finding that peak flow due to snowmelt was the only streamflow statistic that has not changed at a significant rate. Peak flows due to rainfall

events in summer were found to be increasing, along with the number of days exhibiting higher flows. Both summer and winter baseflows were found to be increasing, as well. Novotny and Stefan hypothesized that increases in annual precipitation, larger number of intense precipitation events, and more days with precipitation are driving the increased flows.

Alterations in land use and land management have also likely contributed to increasing flow rates. For example, Schottler et al. (2013) found that agricultural watersheds with large land use changes have exhibited increases in seasonal and annual water yields, with most of the increase in flow rate due to changes in artificial drainage and loss of natural storage. MCES staff plan to repeat the following trend analyses in five years. At that time, we anticipate sufficient data will have been collected for us to assess changes in flow rate, as well as to update the pollutant trends discussed below.

MCES staff assessed trends for the period of 1990-2012 on Nine Mile Creek for TSS, TP, and  $NO_3$ . The results are presented below, and shown in Figure NM-16.

# Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

Two downward trends were identified for TSS flow-adjusted concentration in Nine Mile Creek during the assessment period of 1990 to 2012 (Figure NM-16, top panel). The analysis was performed using QWTREND without precedent 5-year flow setting. The trends identified were statistically significant (p=0).

- Trend 1: 1990 to1996, TSS flow-adjusted concentration decreased from 54.2 mg/l to 11.8 mg/l (-78%) at a rate of -6.1 mg/l/yr.
- Trend 2: 2000 to 2012, TSS flow-adjusted concentration decreased gradually from 11.8 mg/l to 5.4 mg/l (-55%) at a rate of -0.40 mg/l/yr.

The five-year trend in TSS flow-adjusted concentration in Nine Mile Creek (2008-2012) was calculated to compare with other MCES-monitored streams, shown in the report section *Comparison with Other Metro Area Streams*. TSS flow-adjusted concentration decreased from 6.4 mg/l to 5.4 mg/l (-16%) at a rate of -0.21 mg/l/yr. Based on the QWTREND results, the water quality in Nine Mile Creek in terms of TSS has improved during 2008-2012.

## Total Phosphorus

Two trends were identified for TP flow-adjusted concentration in Nine Mile Creek from 1990 to 2012 (Figure NM-16, middle panel). The assessment was performed using QWTREND without precedent 5-year flow setting. The trends identified were statistically significant ( $p=2.64x10^{-6}$ ).

- Trend 1: 1990 to 1996, TP flow-adjusted concentration decreased from 0.17 mg/l to 0.09 mg/l (-48%) at a rate of -0.011 mg/l/yr.
- Trend 2: 2000 to 2012, TP flow-adjusted concentration decreased from 0.09 mg/l to 0.07 mg/l (-19%) at a rate of -0.0010 mg/l/yr.

The five-year trend in TP flow-adjusted concentration in Nine Mile Creek (2008-2012) was calculated to compare with other MCES-monitored streams, shown in the report section *Comparison with Other Metro Area Streams*. TP flow-adjusted concentration decreased from 0.073 mg/l to 0.07 mg/l (-4%) at a rate of -0.0006 mg/l/yr. Based on the QWTREND results, the water quality in Nine Mile Creek in terms of TP has improved during 2008-2012.

## Nitrate

Three trends were identified for  $NO_3$  flow-adjusted concentration in Nine Mile Creek from 1990 to 2012 (Figure NM-16, lower panel). The assessment was performed using QWTREND without precedent 5-year flow setting. The trends identified were statistically significant (p=3.6x10<sup>-5</sup>).

- Trend 1: 1990 to 1998, NO3 flow-adjusted concentration increased slightly from 0.36 mg/l to 0.38 mg/l (6%) at a rate of 0.0025 mg/l/yr.
- Trend 2: 1999 to 2000, NO3 flow-adjusted concentration increased from 0.38 mg/l to 0.51 mg/l (33%) at a rate of 0.064 mg/l/yr.
- Trend 3: 2001 to 2012, NO3 flow-adjusted concentration decreased from 0.51 mg/l to 0.29 mg/l (-44%) at a rate of -0.019 mg/l/yr.

The five-year trend in NO<sub>3</sub> flow-adjusted concentration in Nine Mile Creek (2008-2012) was calculated to compare with other MCES-monitored streams, shown in the report section *Comparison with Other Metro Area Streams*. NO<sub>3</sub> flow-adjusted concentration decreased from 0.35 mg/l to 0.29 mg/l (-19%) at a rate of -0.0.13 mg/l/yr. Based on the QWTREND results, the water quality in Nine Mile Creek in terms of NO3 improved during 2008-2012.

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### 

# **Comparison with Other Metro Area Streams**

# Chemistry

Box-and-whisker plots are used to summarize the comparison of the historical flow, TSS, TP, NO<sub>3</sub>, and Cl data for Nine Mile Creek with those of the other metropolitan area streams monitored by MCES and with the major receiving water (in this case the Minnesota River). The comparisons are shown in Figures NM-18 to NM-21.

Figure NM-17 shows the formatted legend of the box-and-whisker plots used in this report. Note that 50% of data points fall within the box (also known as the interquartile range), with the centroid delineated by the median line. The outer extents of the whiskers designate the maximum and minimum values.

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_5.jpeg)

Comparisons for each chemical parameter for the period 2003-2012 are shown using box-andwhisker plots of four metrics (annual flow-weighted mean (FWM) concentration, annual runoff ratio (volume/precipitation, which are identical on each of the four parameter pages), total annual load, and annual areal yield), grouped on one page, with streams grouped by major receiving river and listed in order of upstream-to-downstream. In addition, the plot of FWM concentration includes the 2003-2012 FWM concentration for the three receiving rivers (Mississippi, St. Croix, and Minnesota), shown as a dashed line.

*Total Suspended Solids.* The median annual FWM concentration for TSS in Nine Mile Creek is greater than that of Willow and Eagle Creeks, but it is lower than that of other monitored Minnesota River tributaries like Sand, Bluff, Riley, Bevens, Carver, and Credit River. The FWM concentration in Nine Mile Creek is also lower than that in the Minnesota River measured at Jordan Minnesota; (70 mg/l vs. 142 mg/l, respectively) and thus serves to decrease the TSS concentration in the river (Table NM-5; Figure NM-18). It is apparent that those tributaries entering the Minnesota River nearest Jordan have significantly higher FWM TSS concentrations and annual yields (expressed in Ib/acre) than the other tributaries to the Minnesota or any of the Mississippi or St. Croix River tributaries monitored by MCES. This reflects the relatively unstable

landform within the Minnesota River watershed, where the tributaries' channels and associated gullies and ravines are still down-cutting towards geographic equilibrium (Jennings, 2010). Nine Mile Creek is fully developed compared to the more agricultural land cover in some of the other Minnesota River tributaries. In addition Nine Mile has some upstream detention which may tend to moderate TSS concentrations.

*Total Phosphorus.* As with TSS, the Nine Mile Creek TP median annual FWM concentration is lower than that of most other monitored Minnesota River tributaries with the exceptions of Eagle Creek and Willow Creek (Figure NM-19). The FWM TP concentration in Nine Mile Creek is slightly lower than that of the Minnesota River (0.21 mg/l vs. 0.24 mg/l, respectively).

The Nine Mile Creek median annual TP load ranks near the middle of the monitored Minnesota River tributaries, lower than that of the agricultural Minnesota River tributaries (Sand, Bevens, Carver, and Credit River), but higher than that of Eagle, Willow, Riley, and Bluff Creek. The Nine Mile Creek median annual yield is lower than that of all the other monitored Minnesota River tributaries, except Willow Creek.

*Nitrate.* The median annual FWM  $NO_3$  concentration in Nine Mile Creek of 0.38 mg/l is lower than that of the Minnesota River (6.8 mg/l), and thus serves to dilute the river concentration (Figure NM-20). The FWM  $NO_3$  concentration is lower than that of all other monitored Minnesota River tributaries except Eagle and Willow Creeks.

As with TP, the median annual NO<sub>3</sub> load ranks near the middle of the monitored Minnesota River tributaries; with the Nine Mile Creek load lower than that of the Bevens, Carver, Credit River and Sand Creeks, but higher than that of Willow, Eagle, Bluff, and Riley Creek. Again as with TP, the Nine Mile Creek median annual yield is lower than that of all the other monitored Minnesota River tributaries, except Willow Creek.

*Chloride.* In contrast to the other monitored parameters, median annual CI FWM concentration in Nine Mile Creek, at 110 mg/l, is higher than that in the Minnesota River (26 mg/l) and is higher than the concentration observed in all other monitored Minnesota River tributaries, except Willow Creek (116 mg/l) (Figure NM-21).

Nine Mile Creek has the second highest median annual CI load of the monitored Minnesota River watersheds (after Sand Creek), and the third highest median areal yield. This is likely due to runoff from roads, sidewalks, and parking lots where salt has been applied as a deicing chemical.

#### Macroinvertebrates

The historic biomonitoring data, summarized as M-IBI scores, are also shown as box-andwhisker plots. However, the streams were divided by stream type as the MPCA impairment thresholds are type-specific and this attribute does not correlate with major river basins.

The M-IBI scores for Nine Mile Creek were below the MPCA impairment threshold (Figure NM-22). This includes the median which suggests that this stream reach habitat and water quality typically were not optimal to sustain the needs for aquatic life. These results are similar to those of other Mississippi River basin urban watersheds, like Minnehaha or Battle Creek. The only urban watershed in the metropolitan area that does not score below the threshold is Eagle Creek, a spring-fed system. The surface water-fed, urban watersheds, like Minnehaha Creek, clearly have negative stressors affecting the macroinvertebrate communities.

# Figure NM–18: Total Suspended Solids for MCES–Monitored Streams, 2003–2012

Organized by Major River Basin

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Figure NM–19: Total Phosphorus for MCES–Monitored Streams, 2003–2012

**Organized by Major River Basin** 

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Figure NM–20: Nitrate for MCES–Monitored Streams, 2003–2012

**Organized by Major River Basin** 

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Figure NM–21: Chloride for MCES–Monitored Streams, 2003–2012

**Organized by Major River Basin** 

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

				TSS Median Annual	TSS Median	TSS Median	TP Median	TP Median	TP Median	NO₃ Median Annual	NO₃ Median	NO₃ Median	Cl Median Annual	CI Median	CI Median
		Major	Median	FWM	Annual	Annual Xiold <sup>4</sup>	Annual	Annual		FWM	Annual	Annual Xiold <sup>4</sup>	FWM	Annual	
Station	Stream Name	Watershed	Ratio <sup>1</sup>	(mg/l)	(lb/yr)	(lb/ac/yr)	(mg/l)l	(lb/yr)	(lb/ac/yr)	(mg/l)	(lb/yr)	(lb/ac/yr)	(mg/l)	(lb/yr)	(lb/ac/yr)
DEF	Bevens Creek		0.40	0.07	17 000 000	0.1.0	0.575	10.050	0 704	0.05					47.0
BE5.0	(Upper)	Minnesota	0.18	207	17,600,000	319	0.575	43,650	0.791	8.95	628,000	11.4	38	2,600,000	47.2
BE2.0	(Lower)	Minnesota	0.18	252	29,550,000	357	0.511	55,950	0.677	9.34	996,500	12.1	34	3,395,000	41.1
SA8.2	Sand Creek	Minnesota	0.20	344	74,200,000	489	0.526	106,000	0.700	4.85	886,000	5.8	36	6,980,000	46.0
CA1.7	Carver Creek	Minnesota	0.18	143	9,870,000	188	0.304	20,200	0.385	2.35	157,000	3.0	41	2,500,000	47.5
BL3.5	Bluff Creek	Minnesota	0.30	304	3,025,000	838	0.348	2,820	0.782	0.61	4,405	1.2	87	635,500	176.0
RI1.3	Riley Creek	Minnesota	0.16	277	2,025,000	305	0.335	2,440	0.367	0.79	5,840	0.9	54	407,000	61.3
EA0.8	Eagle Creek	Minnesota	2.29	11	181,000	167	0.055	918	0.848	0.17	2,760	2.6	25	381,000	352.0
CR0.9	Credit River	Minnesota	0.16	107	3,090,000	103	0.312	8,800	0.293	1.15	37,400	1.3	53	1,590,000	53.1
WI1.0	Willow Creek	Minnesota	0.15	54	391,000	61	0.161	1,130	0.175	0.28	1,980	0.3	116	750,000	116.0
NM1.8	Nine Mile Creek	Minnesota	0.18	70	2,520,000	88	0.205	7,335	0.255	0.38	15,750	0.5	110	3,930,000	136.5
0140000	Crow River						0.000		0.400	0.50					
CWS20.3	(South)	Mississippi	0.20	60	50,800,000	69	0.339	322,500	0.438	6.58	5,995,000	8.2	31	28,650,000	39.0
CW23.1	(Main)	Mississippi	0.18	46	98,950,000	59	0.248	496,000	0.294	3.33	5,960,000	3.5	27	49,950,000	29.6
RUM0.7	Rum River	Mississippi	0.24	12	20,700,000	21	0.119	193,000	0.191	0.38	654,000	0.6	13	21,150,000	21.0
BS1.9	Bassett Creek	Mississippi	0.28	37	1,905,000	77	0.150	8,090	0.325	0.38	19,350	0.8	139	6,620,000	266.0
	Minnehaha														
MH1.7	Creek	Mississippi	0.13	16	1,415,000	13	0.102	9,095	0.084	0.17	16,400	0.2	91	7,700,000	71.0
BA2.2	Battle Creek	Mississippi	0.24	83	1,043,000	146	0.197	2,220	0.311	0.32	3,945	0.6	134	1,775,000	248.5
FC0.2	Fish Creek	Mississippi	0.26	55	296,500	101	0.198	1,066	0.364	0.71	3,035	1.0	111	610,000	208.0
VR2.0	Vermillion River	Mississippi	0.20	29	6,025,000	40	0.185	49,000	0.328	4.02	1,001,500	6.7	58	14,050,000	94.1
CN11.9	Cannon River	Mississippi	0.26	130	201,000,000	235	0.320	589,000	0.687	4.59	7,435,000	8.7	28	46,050,000	53.8
	Carnelian-	Ct. Croiv	0.00	0	7 570	0.4	0.000	150	0.000	0.10	704	0.04	10	CO 500	2.0
		St. Croix	0.06	<u> </u>	7,570	0.4	0.022	100	0.009	0.10	1 765	0.04	10	09,500	3.9
	Browne Creek	St. Croix	0.06	<u> </u>	80,700	15	0.108	235	0.042	0.83	1,705	0.3	17	37,100	0.7
	Browns Creek	St. Croix	0.46	51	785,500	1/2	0.160	2,355	0.514	0.86	12,900	2.8	20	300,000	65.6
VA1.0	valley Creek	St. Croix	0.58	14	392,500	54	0.047	1,415	0.193	4.74	145,500	19.9	19	589,500	80.4

Table NM-5: Annual Median Concentrations, Loads, and Yields for MCES-Monitored Streams, 2003-2012

<sup>1</sup>Runoff ratio = annual flow volume at monitoring station / annual area-weighted precipitation. Area-weighted precipitation for each watershed provided by Minnesota Climatological Working Group (2013) <sup>2</sup>FWM conc = annual flow-weighted mean concentration estimated using Flux32 (Walker, 1999).

 $^{3}$ Load = annual pollutant load mass estimated using Flux32 (Walker, 1999).

<sup>4</sup> Yield = watershed pollutant yield calculated from annual pollutant load mass estimated using Flux32 (Walker, 1999) divided by area of watershed upstream of MCES monitoring station

# Figure NM-22: M-IBI Results for MCES-Monitored Streams, 2004-2011

Organized by Stream Type

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

Higher M-IBI scores are indicative of a better water quality.

Each stream type has system-specific impairment thresholds set by the MPCA (2014b).

If a portion of the box plot is below the threshold, the stream may not have supported the needs of aquatic life during the study period.

## Metropolitan Area Trends Analysis

Statistical trend analysis for each MCES stream monitoring station was performed using QWTREND (Vecchia, 2003). Trend estimates were calculated for 2008-2012 (the last five years of available data) to allow comparison of changes in water quality between streams. A similar approach was used in the 2013 MPCA nitrogen study (MPCA, 2013b) to compare QWTREND assessments in statewide streams and rivers.

Estimated changes for TSS, TP, and NO<sub>3</sub> in MCES-monitored streams are presented below in two ways. First, tabulated results with directional arrows indicating improving (blue upward arrow) and declining (red downward arrow) water quality paired with percent change in flow-adjusted concentration estimated for 2008-2012 (Figure NM-23). Second, changes are shown by three seven-county metropolitan area maps (one each for TSS, TP, and NO<sub>3</sub> trends), with stream watersheds colored to represent improving and declining water quality (Figure NM-24).

In general, of the 20 monitoring stations assessed, most exhibited improving water quality (and thus decreasing concentration) for TSS, TP, and NO<sub>3</sub>. There does not appear to be a spatial pattern for those few stations with declining water quality. There is no station with declining water quality for all three parameters, although both TP and NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations increased in Carver Creek (a Minnesota River tributary) and TSS and TP increased in Browns Creek (a St. Croix River tributary).

Estimated trends in Nine Mile Creek show decreasing concentrations of TSS, TP, and  $NO_3$  (improving water quality) during the 2008-2012 period. During this period,  $NO_3$  has shown the largest decrease, 19 per cent, followed by TSS (16 per cent decrease) and TP, (5 per cent decrease).

The Minnesota River and its tributaries typically have had higher TSS concentrations than the Mississippi or St. Croix Rivers and their associated tributaries. The trend analysis results indicate decreasing TSS flow-adjusted concentrations in all Minnesota River tributaries with the exception of Sand Creek. In addition to decreasing TSS concentrations, Nine Mile Creek also had decreasing TP and NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations over the last five years.

# Figure NM-23: Regional Estimated Trends in Flow-Adjusted Stream Concentrations of TSS, TP, and NO<sub>3</sub>, 2008-2012

(Grouped by Major River Basin; As estimated by QWTrend)

-		1	Missi: Above	ssippi e Conf	Basir luenc	n e				Minn	esota	River	Basin				M Be	ssissip low Co	opi Ba onfluei	sin nce		St. River	Croix Basir	ı
Suspendec Solids	Water Quality						N/A		Ļ								1				N/A	N/A		
Total	Percent Change	-14	-15	-44	-30	-15	N/A	-6	68	-10	-19	-47	-5	-12	-53	-16	-77	7 -37	-19	-17	N/A	N/A	142	2 -1
		, 	1	1	1	, 		I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I			I	I			I	I	1
osphorus	Water Quality					1	N/A					Ļ	N/A		N/A		1				N/A	N/A	Ļ	
Total Pho	Percent Change	-11	-16	-15	-17	-16	N/A	-9	-18	15	-57	13	N/A	-4	N/A	-5	-56	6 -47	-53	-55	N/A	N/A	14	-46
			I	I	I				I	I	I	I				1		I				I	I	
trate	Water Quality						N/A						N/A								N/A	N/A		
Ż	Percent Change	-65	-37	-19	-27	-15	N/A	-50	-31	31	-46	-6	N/A	-3	-37	-19	27	<b>-</b> 21	-21	2	N/A	N/A	-22	28
			Ι	I	I				1	1		1				Ι	L	1				1	1	Ι
		row River South Fork	Crow River	Rum River	Bassett Creek*	Minnehaha Creek	Bevens Creek (Upper)	3evens Creek (Lower)	Sand Creek	Carver Creek	Bluff Creek	Riley Creek	Eagle Creek	Credit River	Willow Creek**	Nine Mile Creek	Battle Creek	Fish Creek	Vermillion River	Cannon River	Carnelian Marine	Silver Creek	Browns Creek	Valley Creek

Blue arrows indicate improved water quality; Red arrows indicate declining water quality.

"N/A" indicates analysis was not performed as data were not appropriate for analysis by QWTrend.

\* Bassett Creek TSS Trends were assessed over 2009-2013. \*\*Monitoring at Willow Creek was suspended in 2009.

### Figure NM-24: Regional Maps of Estimated Trends in Flow-Adjusted Stream Concentrations of TSS, TP, and NO3, 2008-2012 (As estimated by QWTrend)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Conclusions

Nine Mile Creek is a tributary to the Minnesota River in Hennepin County. Its watershed includes portions of the cities of Edina, Eden Prairie, Minnetonka, Hopkins, Richfield, and Bloomington (Metropolitan Council Districts 3 and 5). The watershed is approximately 50 square miles in area and is completely developed with about 64 percent impervious cover. Land cover includes residential, commercial, roadways, parks, lakes, and wetlands.

Nine Mile Creek flows from north-to-south through several large channelized wetlands before its confluence with the Minnesota River. The creek flows through the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge before entering the Minnesota River. Bryant Lake and Hyland-Bush-Anderson Lakes Regional Parks are located in the creek watershed. There are few point sources in the watershed, and no permitted waste water treatment plants within the watershed.

Macroinvertebrate monitoring suggests that conditions in the creek are not optimal for support of aquatic life. Trend analysis shows that total suspended solids, total phosphorus, and nitrate flow-adjusted concentrations in Nine Mile Creek have all decreased over the last five years, resulting in improved water quality for those pollutants.

## **Recommendations**

This section presents recommendations for monitoring and assessment of Nine Mile Creek, as well as recommendations for partnerships to implement stream improvements. MCES recognizes that cities, counties, and local water management organizations, like NMCWD, are ideally suited to target and implement volume reduction, pollutant removal, and stream restoration projects within the watershed. It is beyond the scope of this document to suggest locations for implementation projects. Instead, MCES encourages the local water management organizations to use the results of this report to leverage funding and partnerships to target, prioritize, and implement improvement projects. MCES will repeat its analysis of water quality trends in 5 years, to assess potential changes in water quality.

The following recommendations have been drafted from the results of this report and are intended to assist MCES and its partners in directing future assessment work:

- MCES should continue monitoring of Nine Mile Creek and should partner with NMCWD to investigate possible sources of pollutants in the creek.
- As staff time and budget allows, MCES should support NMCWD to assess loads, water quality, and trends at the two upstream stations on the North and South Branches of Nine Mile Creek.
- MCES should partner with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to assess impacts of Nine Mile Creek on the Minnesota River National Wildlife Refuge.
- MCES and partners (especially NMCWD) should create a timeline of past projects and management activities that may have improved or altered stream flow and/or water quality. This information would allow more accurate assessment and interpretation of trends.
- As resources allow, MCES should provide NMCWD and other local water managers with information about the heightened potential for surface waters to be impacted by

groundwater changes in the Nine Mile Creek watershed. This information should be included in watershed and local surface water management plan updates.

- MCES should continue to evaluate the effects of groundwater withdrawal on surface waters, including updating analyses with the best available data and linking results to predictive groundwater modeling and the comprehensive planning process.
- MCES should continue macroinvertebrate monitoring in Nine Mile Creek and further investigate the lack of intolerant species. MCES should continue to analyze and evaluate the biomonitoring program. Potential additions should include a Stream Habitat Assessment similar to the habitat surveys performed by the MPCA or the addition of fish population and algal community data.
- The trend analysis should be repeated in 5 years, expanding the list of assessed parameters to include NH3, bacteria, and chlorophyll. Sufficient data should exist at that time to also assess trends in CI and flow.
- MCES should partner with NMCWD to investigate and mitigate high CI concentrations in the creek.

## Citations

Carlisle, D.M., Meador, M.R., Short, T.M., Tate, C.M., Gurtz, M.E., Bryant, W.L., Falcone, J.A., and Woodside, M.D., 2013, The quality of our Nation's waters—Ecological health in the Nation's streams, 1993–2005: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1391, 120 p., http://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/1391/.

Chirhart, Joel. 2003. Development of a macroinvertebrate index of biological integrity (MIBI) for rivers and streams of the St. Croix River Basin in Minnesota. St. Paul: Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA).

Jennings, C. 2010. Sediment source apportionment to the Lake Pepin TMDL: source characterization. St. Paul: Minnesota Geological Survey.

Metropolitan Council. 2010. Evaluation of groundwater and surface-water interaction: guidance for resource assessment, Twin Cities Metropolitan Area, MN. Prepared by Barr Engineering. St. Paul: Metropolitan Council.

Minnesota Climatology Working Group. 2013. Precipitation Grids - an explanation. St. Paul: Minnesota Climatology Working Group. <http://climate.umn.edu/gridded\_data/precip/wetland/explain\_grids.htm> (accessed 17.01.14).

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MnDNR). 2011. Proposed rules relating to Mississippi River Corridor Critical Area. St. Paul: Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. <a href="http://files.dnr.state.mn.us/input/rules/rulemaking/mrcca/draft-rules.pdf">http://files.dnr.state.mn.us/input/rules/rulemaking/mrcca/draft-rules.pdf</a>> (accessed 17.03.14).

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). 2010. Regionalization of Minnesota's rivers for application of river nutrient criteria. St. Paul: Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. Document wq-s6-18.

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). 2011a. Aquatic life water quality standards draft technical support document for total suspended solids (turbidity). St. Paul: Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. Document wq-s6-11.

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). 2013a. Minnesota nutrient criteria development for rivers (Update of November 2010 Report). St. Paul: Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. Document wq-s6-08.

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). 2013b. Nitrogen in Minnesota surface waters: conditions, trends, sources, and reductions. St. Paul: Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. Document wq-s6-26a.

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). 2014a. 2014 Proposed Impaired Waters List. St. Paul: Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. Document wq-iw1-47.

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). 2014b. Development of a macroinvertebratebased Index of Biological Integrity for assessment of Minnesota's rivers and streams. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, Environmental Analysis andOutcomes Division, St. Paul, MN. Document wq-bsm4-01.

Comprehensive Water Quality Assessment of Select Metropolitan Area Streams | Metropolitan Council

Nine Mile Creek Watershed District (NMCWD). n.d.-a. Flood Control – Normandale Lake and Marsh Lake Dam. <a href="http://ninemilecreek.org/Reports/NormandaleMarsh.pdf">http://ninemilecreek.org/Reports/NormandaleMarsh.pdf</a>> (accessed 02.16.2015).

Nine Mile Creek Watershed District (NMCWD). n.d.-b. Nine Mile Creek Lower Valley/Harrison Park. <a href="http://ninemilecreek.org/Reports/NMCLowerValley.pdf">http://ninemilecreek.org/Reports/NMCLowerValley.pdf</a>> (accessed 02.16.2015).

Nine Mile Creek Watershed District (NMCWD). n.d.-c. Bryant Lake Alum Treatment. <a href="http://ninemilecreek.org/Reports/BryantLakeAlum.pdf">http://ninemilecreek.org/Reports/BryantLakeAlum.pdf</a>> (accessed 02.16.2015).

Novotny, E. and H. Stefan. 2007. Stream flow in Minnesota: indicator of climate change. J. Hydrol. 334, 319-333.

Schottler, S., Ulrich, J., Belmont, P., Moore, R., Lauer, J.W., Engstrom, D., Almendinger, J.E. 2013. Twentieth century agricultural drainage creates more erosive rivers. Hydrol. Process. (2013). doi: 10.1002/hyp.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2007. An approach for using load duration curves in the development of TMDLs. Washington D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA 841-B-07-006.

Vecchia, A.V. 2003. Water quality trend analysis and sampling design for streams in North Dakota, 1971-2000. USGS Water Resources Investigations Report 03-4094. <a href="http://nd.water.usgs.gov/pubs/wri/wri034094/index.html">http://nd.water.usgs.gov/pubs/wri/wri034094/index.html</a> (accessed 15.08.07).

Walker, W.W. 1999. Simplified procedures for eutrophication assessment and prediction: User Manual. US Army Corps of Engineers – Waterways Experiment Station Instruction Report W-96-2.

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

390 Robert Street North St Paul, MN 55101-1805

651.602.1000 TTY 651.291.0904 public.info@metc.state.mn.us metrocouncil.org

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)