

Rethinking Areas of Concentrated Poverty

Place is an important dimension of equity, but how we frame this discussion matters.

Metropolitan Council

July 22, 2020

1



Overview

- Recap 'Rethinking Areas of Concentrated Poverty' project and findings
- Describe our immediate and longer-term responses
 - Changes to our published dataset
 - Further research
- Discussion

Rethinking Areas of Concentrated Poverty

Place is an important dimension of equity, but how we frame this discussion matters.

Matt Schroeder, Krysten Ryba-Tures & Amy Plambeck
Community Development Research Team | July 13, 2020



[Read the digital report](#)


Areas of Concentrated Poverty (ACPs)

- Census tracts where at least 40% of residents have incomes below 185% of the federal poverty threshold*
 - \$45,510 for family of four in 2017
- ACP subset: where majority are residents of color
- 2013-2017 mapped


** - This is the definition of poverty used throughout unless otherwise noted.*



METROPOLITAN
COUNCIL



2014 Fair Housing and Equity Assessment





- Required by U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) grantees
- Specific analysis of residential segregation patterns and regional opportunity, fair housing
- Informed by community organizations, advocates, and regional stakeholders

Research today supports policy tomorrow





2011–2015

Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Grant program require a Fair Housing and Equity Assessment (FHEA), a study of segregation by race & income and its drivers.



2014

The Council adopts its FHEA, *Choice, Place, and Opportunity*, in 2014. The findings influence two regional policy documents, *Thrive MSP 2040* and the 2040 Housing Policy Plan.



2015–2018

Council researchers publish an annual report and dataset on concentrated poverty.

2020–

Planning for the next regional development is currently underway.

2024

The Council adopts the next regional development guide and systems and policy plans.

2019–2020

Council researchers initiate Rethinking Areas of Concentrated Poverty project.



Are the prevailing narratives and research tools centering concentrated poverty advancing regional equity?



METROPOLITAN COUNCIL

We listened

- Twenty listening sessions over 2019 and early 2020
 - Council staff (12)
 - Council Equity Advisory Committee (2)
 - Local jurisdictions (5)
 - Other (1)
- Following conversations led by community organizations, advocates
- Academic research and community development practitioners, including practices in peer regions

We learned

Overall themes

1. A shared understanding and tools are important to moving the regional conversation on equity forward. Council researchers have an important role to play.
2. That shared understanding needs to include much more than concentrated poverty. Calling attention to the full spectrum of inequality, root causes, and more characteristics of tracts would be helpful.
3. Many stakeholders, including local government staff, struggle with the tension between wanting to promote investment in these areas (and to diversify the housing stock) and fueling gentrification/displacement.

We heard from you, EAC:

- Our research needs to reflect all voices. Use mixed-method research because data alone does not do this.
- Our current analysis maintains a deficit-based narrative about Areas of Concentrated Poverty that comes to define their residents too.
- We need to understand what investments are (and are not) flowing into Areas of Concentrated Poverty and the impacts of those investments. These neighborhoods do need more investment, but it needs to be aligned with what community members want and need.
- Analyze of areas of concentrated wealth and racially restrictive covenants as well.
- To avoid being exploitative, data and results need to be shared—or even co-owned—with the communities involved.

We reflected

- Taking stock of the Council's role in overemphasizing concentrated poverty, rather than the root causes that formed and sustain it, like structural racism
- The impact of deficit-based narratives to neighborhoods has tangible, negative consequences
- Narrowing focus on regional poverty trends; around place, equity, and community needs; and broader racial inequities

We're responding

Now: publish data to support a shift in regional narratives

Changes to our current annual dataset and maps

Soon: research that reflects all voices

Undertake further research that centers community voices, needs and leverages new data to support place-based strategies


Longer-term influence

Next regional planning cycle

Council policies & practices


We've added concentrated affluence

- “Affluent” = 500% of poverty threshold
 - \$128,500 for family of four in 2018
- “Concentrated affluence” = Tracts where the share of affluent people is two-thirds greater than the regional share (~65%)




We've added historical data on racial discrimination

- Share of census tract by Home Owners Loan Corporation (HOLC) "grades"
- Minneapolis and Saint Paul only



We've added historical data on racial discrimination



- Number of racially restrictive covenants by census tract
- Hennepin County now, more areas later
- Provided by Mapping Prejudice Project

We've added disaggregated data by race and ethnicity

- Areas of Concentrated Poverty where at least 50% of residents are people of color (ACP50s) are no longer explicitly identified
 - Stop reinforcing people's automatic associations of poverty and people of color
 - Discourage lumping together many different groups
- Shift to full distribution of major groups defined in the American Community Survey
- Indicator for plurality (largest group in each tract)

We've added housing and transportation data

Housing

- Homeownership rate
- Cost burden
- Rents and home values
- Housing affordable at or below 60% of Area Median Income
- Recent development

Transportation

- Households without vehicles
- Commute lengths
- How people commute

Additional data (by December 2020)

- Immigrant communities
- Disability status

- Mortgage lending
- Commercial and economic development
- Ownership of rental units
- Environment and climate change

- Federal designations, like Opportunity Zones, Qualified Census Tracts, Economically Distressed Areas

Research to support place & equity (2020—)

1. Residential preferences of low-income households (Metro HRA)
2. Economic Values Atlas (in partnership with GreaterMSP, CEI, others)
3. Place & equity study
 - Mixed-methods
 - Community-centered
 - Leverage new 2020 census data; develop local data, focus on assets, stories
 - Support regional policy (development guide, systems & policy plans)
 - Support Council place-based strategies

Discussion

1. Reactions to our response so far (updates to our published datasets)?
2. What research questions do you have about place & equity? What do you hope to learn from upcoming projects described today?
3. How would you like to be engaged and/or support engagement efforts in this work?

For more information


Matt Schroeder,
Principal Researcher
matt.schroeder@metc.state.mn.us

Krysten Ryba-Tures,
Senior Researcher
Krysten.ryba-tures@metc.state.mn.us

Rethinking Areas of Concentrated Poverty

Place is an important dimension of equity, but how we frame this discussion matters.

Matt Schroeder, Krysten Ryba-Tures & Amy Plambeck
Community Development Research Team | July 13, 2020



[Read the digital report](#)