## **Regional Transportation and Climate Change Multimodal Measures**

**Transportation Advisory Board** 



## Feb 2025 |Tony Fischer





## Project Overview

To improve and develop methodologies for evaluating the greenhouse ٠ gas (GHG) impacts of transportation projects in the TIP, Regional Solicitation (walking, biking, transit, highways, travel demand management, and electrification) and TPP.



To produce a guide for calculating GHGs in the region at project scales • and identify the types of projects with the greatest potential for positive and negative impacts on GHGs.



In consultation with the MnDOT, MPCA, technical committee, and ٠ other stakeholders.

# **Project Schedule and Progress**







## Inventory Current Methods



# **Regional Solicitation**



## **Existing Methodologies**

- The existing methodologies require a low to moderate level of effort.  $\bullet$
- No direct GHG estimates. •
- For certain projects, GHG impacts are indirectly assessed with vehicle miles • travelled (VMT) reduction estimates:
  - Distance from terminal to terminal and ridership data for transit services
  - Commute trip distances for travel demand management (TDM) projects
- Synchro can provide fuel consumption for roadways projects, an indirect estimate of GHG impacts.
- Traffic speed/congestion effects is an indirect proxy for induced demand but considered in reverse.

## **Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**

## **Project Examples**

Project Type		Description of Project Type	
	Education and Safety	Travel behavior inventory and regional model development	
Appurtenance	Historic Preservation	Study for Rondo Area Street Improvements in St Paul	
	Median Barrier	Cable median barrier installation and resurfacing/repair projects	
	Bridge Pedestrian	Construction and replacement of pedestrian bridges	
Bike/Ped	Coop Const Agreement	Construction of bike facility	
	New Trail	Construct bike/ped trail or bridge	
	Pedestrian Ramps (ADA Improvements)	Construct ped safety improvements, protected bikeways and multiuse trails	
	Bridge New	Construction of bridge	
Bridge Replacement or Construction	Bridge Removal	Removal of bridge	
	Bridge Replacement	Rehabilitation or replacement of bridges, interchanges	

Grand Total
\$3,166,000
\$1,400,000
\$12,342,000
\$9,746,640
\$2,670,000
\$37,147,057
\$52,945,442
\$6,050,000
\$2,574,064
\$53,755,895

# **Transportation Policy Plan (TPP)**



## **Existing Methodologies**

- The 2040 forecast model was reviewed.
- The regional model takes in the future transportation network, land uses, • population and jobs, and is used to forecast future travel demand and estimate performance measures.
- GHG emissions projections come from the regional travel demand model  $\bullet$ and Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES), high level of effort.
- The travel demand model estimates some induced demand; results in • approximately half of the effect of online calculators and suggested by research literature
- Construction is not considered when estimating GHG emissions associated • with the TPP.

## **Review Best Practices**



# **Best Practices Review**

- California Air Resources Board (CARB): California Climate **Investments Calculator Tools**
- California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA): Handbook for Analyzing Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA): CMAQ Emissions Calculator Toolkit
- San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG): Mobility Management Toolbox – VMT Reduction Calculator Tool
- Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC): Project Level **Emissions Estimation Tool**
- Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT): Carbon **Reduction Strategy**



















# Key Differences in Methodologies

<ul> <li>Tailpipe emissions only</li> </ul>	VS.	Tailpipe + upstream (well-t
<ul> <li>Before/after activity (ridership, bicyclists, etc.)</li> </ul>	VS.	Change in activity calculat using elasticity
<ul> <li>Considers changes in transit vehicle emissions</li> </ul>	VS.	Ignores changes in transit emissions
<ul> <li>Applies mode shift factor (fraction previously SOV)</li> </ul>	VS.	Assumes all new riders/cy previously SOV
<ul> <li>Result expressed in tons of GHG emissions</li> </ul>	VS.	Result expressed in perce GHG emissions

## to-wheels)

## ed

## vehicle

## clists were

## ent change in

# Recommended Methodologies



# **GHG** Quantification Recommendations **Key Considerations**

- Incorporate key feedback and input from technical meetings:
  - TIP discussions with MnDOT
  - A total of three meetings dedicated to TPP
  - Work groups for Regional Solicitation project categories: Electrification, Transit, Bicycle and Pedestrian, TDM, and Roadways
- Balance effort against precisions and completeness
- Account for vehicle well-to-wheel emissions
- Capture emission benefits and disbenefits throughout the entire project lifetimes
- Apply national best practices with regional-specific input parameters (updated regularly)
- Provide recommendations to collect project-related information for future methodology refinement

# **TPP and TIP**



TPP

- Induced demand comparison
- Update EV forecast integrated into baseline
- Upstream and lifecycle emissions across all vehicle types/fuels (consistency)
- Consider construction emissions
- TIP
  - TIP does not include sufficient information for independent GHG estimates.
  - Project sponsors could either submit GHG estimates in line with established guidelines or propose their own methods.
  - More discussion needed.

C ounc

# Implementation



# **R-Based Transportation GHG Tool**



## **Map-Based Tool Features**

- An easy map-based tool to input and extract necessary information for project evaluations
- Calculates the residential population within a specified radius
- Enables mapping to community type which assigns the commute distances and other trip attributes
- Incorporates the defined fleet data for each city into our displaced vehicle miles traveled calculations

Imployee Commute Shared Mobility	
Location	Number of Daily One-Way Commute Trips Reduced
Minneapolis	▼ 1,000
Year	Project Lifetime (in years)
2024-01-01	4
Average One-way Commute Trip Distance (Mile)	Annual Number of Working Days
10.9	260

elected Community Type: Urba

*Currently in the beta testing phase:* 

https://metcouncilicf.shinyapps.io/transport-emission-shiny/





tropolita C o u n

# **Next Steps**



# **Regional Solicitation – What's Next?**

- Beginning in spring a Regional Solicitation Special Issue Working Group for Climate will be established to dig into this topic area further.
- R-based transportation GHG tool should be utilized and incorporated into the ongoing application process to evaluate projects.
- Some projects lack region-specific data and may require data reporting from funded initiatives to better understand how the current elasticity or methodology applies to local projects:
  - Mobility hubs, EV education and outreach, and the installation of public EV charging infrastructure.
- For roadway projects, considerable discrepancies among Synchro, SimTraffic, and FHWA's CMAQ results. Consider lookup table by project type (e.g., interchange, lane expansion) and scaling parameters (e.g., AADT, level of congestion reduction)
- Construction emissions can be quantified using the Minnesota Infrastructure Carbon Estimator (MICE) tool.





## **Tony Fischer**

Met Council Transportation Planner <u>Tony.Fischer@metc.state.mn.us</u>

## Stephanie Kong

ICF Director, Transportation and Energy <u>Stephanie.Kong@icf.com</u>

