

Council Member Barber made a motion to approve Resolution 21-ECCB-23. Commissioner Greene seconded. After a roll call vote, the resolution was approved.

4. RESOLUTION 21-ECCB-024

Brian explained that this change order is for work needed to build a new access road for BNSF, which is a requirement of the BNSF agreement. Specific scope items include retaining wall work, soil correction work, and a bollard and gate system. This Part One change is to start procurement for electrical, bollard and gate equipment and for the contractor to design the temporary shoring system.

Commissioner Goettel asked what is the estimated value of entire work? Brian estimated \$2 to \$3M total, including the amount of this Part One.

Commissioner Greene asked for confirmation that this need is prompted by the agreement with the railroad. Jim confirmed, and explained this agreement involves MN Twins, Ballpark Authority, Hennepin County, City of Minneapolis and BNSF.

Commissioner Goettel made a motion to approve Resolution 21-ECCB-24. Council Member Barber seconded. After a roll call vote, the resolution was approved.

5. MONTHLY REPORT ON CHANGE ORDERS

Brian Runzel reviewed the report on new change orders under \$350,000. Since the last ECCB meeting, there were 23 new change orders to the Civil Construction contract, five for the Franklin O&M Facility Modifications contract, and two for the Systems Construction contract. All 30 had dollar amounts below the ECCB approval level. Brian highlighted and explained some of the change orders on the list.

Commissioner Goettel asked for clarifications on change 0397, specifically if there were more change orders coming to complete shifting traffic at HWY 62 to its permanent location. Brian stated this is the final change order for constructing HWY 62 in its final configuration. Commissioner Goettel asked how the steel escalation costs were calculated for the steel escalation change order and if the project gets a credit if the price goes down. Brian explained there is a formula in the contract for calculating the cost of steel escalation, and if the price of steel goes down, the project will get a credit.

Commissioner Greene asked for clarification on change 0409. Why wasn't this item included in the original secant wall change order? Brian explained that the scope for the temporary support of excavation near Cedar Isles Condominium wasn't finalized at the time, however, the project needed to start the more critical secant related work. The project focused on the secant change order first, which included longer lead items. The secants were designed by the Council's designers; the temporary support of excavation is designed by the contractor's designers. The scope for this change also needed to be reviewed by the Cedar Isles Condominium engineer, which took time. Commissioner Greene would like to know in the future when voting on a Part Two, if there are items not included in the Part Two that are still outstanding.

Council Member Barber made a motion to receive the monthly report on change orders. Commissioner Greene seconded the motion. Following a roll call vote, the report was received.

6. ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at 11:50 a.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dawn Hoffner, Recording Secretary