Meeting Notes

2050 Transportation Policy Plan Technical Working Group

T



Meeting Date: May 12, 2022

Time: 1:35 PM

.

I

Location: Virtual

.

Members Present:

⊠ 7W - Andrew Witter	🛛 Council, Parks - Emmett Mullin	🛛 MPCA - Innocent Eyoh
🛛 Anoka Co - Jack Forslund	🛛 Council, Parks - Todd Graham	🛛 Move Minneapolis - Tiffany Orth
🖾 Carver Co - Angie Stenson	Metropolitan Airports Commission - Bridget Rief	⊠ Ramsey Co - Scott Mareck
Centerville - Mark Statz		Roseville - Marc Culver
🛛 Chanhassen - Charlie Howley	⊠ Metro Transit - Steve Mahowald	🛛 Saint Paul - Bill Dermody
□ Coon Rapids - Tim Himmer	🛛 Minneapolis - Jasna Hadzic-Stanek	🛛 Scott Co - Nathan Abney
□ City - Vacant	☐ MN Council on Disability - Vacant	🛛 Suburban Transit Assoc - Ben
□ City - Vacant	🖾 DEED - Ed Hodder	Picone
⊠ Dakota Co - Gina Mitteco	⊠ MDH – Allyssa Stevenson	🛛 TAB Coordinator - Elaine
⊠ FHWA - Andrew Emanuele	⊠ DNR - Nancy Spooner-Mueller	Koutsoukos
⊠ Hennepin Co - KC Atkins	🛛 MnDOT Freight - Andrew Andrusko	⊠ TAC Chair - Jon Solberg
MAC - Bridget Rief	MnDOT Metro District - Michael	TC Shared Mobility Collaborative – Will Schroeer
⊂ Council Community Development -	Corbett ⊠ MnDOT OTSM - Hally Turner	⊠ UMN CTS - Gina Baas
Michael Larson	⊠ MnDOT Sustainability - Nissa	Washington Co - Emily Jorgensen
Council MTS - Dave Burns	Tupper	(Chair)
Council, MTS Planning Director - Amy Vennewitz	□ MnDOT Traffic Safety - Derek Leuer	⊠= present

Topics

- 1. Welcome, Introductions, and Group Purpose (Chair Emily Jorgensen)
 - Chair Jorgensen opened the meeting and briefly stated the role of members in this group.
- 2. Regional Vision (Michael Larson)
 - Presentation covered:
 - Regional development guide purpose, requirements, terminology, and relationship to system policy plans and local comprehensive plans
 - Purpose and qualities of vision statements
 - Exploratory issues to generate discussion
 - Bill Dermody cautioned the regional vision ultimately must come from people in the region and elected representatives.
 - Scott Marek noted a disconnect between the present plan and implementation through the Regional Solicitation, stated a need to focus a presently broad vision on a few key issues, and stated need for conversations on how to make measurable progress.
- 3. Introduction to the Transportation Policy Plan (Cole Hiniker and Jed Hanson)

- Presentation covered:
 - TPP purpose, structure, state and federal requirements, relationship to other plans, and role in day-to-day planning work
 - Technical working group responsibilities, relationship to Council decisionmakers or other working groups, anticipated work for the next two years, and meeting format
 - Potential topics to address in the 2050 TPP
- Todd Graham noted need to consider the appropriate level of policy detail versus focus in the plan.
- Michael Larson stated that while vision and values are high level, detail remains welcome to carry forward.
- 4. Small Group Discussions on Regional Vision and Transportation Issues (MTS Planning Staff)
 - Vision, Values, and Goals: Breakout rooms were prompted to think about what these terms mean for the region and their community, and what achievement of these items looks like.
 - Equity Mentioned in all breakout groups, some discussions included:
 - · Regional disparities and achievement gaps particularly by race
 - Shared prosperity
 - · Variation in infrastructure condition by jurisdiction/neighborhood
 - · Creating generational equity setting up future generations for success
 - · Equity of transportation choices/options
 - · Equity of investment policies
 - Equity of safety work
 - Engagement quality and humility
 - Land acknowledgement
 - · Centering equity in pollution- and emissions-related decisions
 - · The relationship between transportation and health
 - Access to opportunity
 - Distribution and destination of transit service (e.g., downtown, suburban job centers)
 - Safety Mentioned in all breakout groups, some discussions included:
 - Complete streets
 - Safe systems approach
 - Freight safety
 - Equitable distribution of safety actions and application of safety approaches
 - Transit security
 - Perception of safety
 - Environment Mentioned in all breakout groups, some discussions included:
 - Sustainability and benefits/impacts trade-offs on livability
 - Climate adaptation and resiliency, especially regarding flooding and severe events
 - Climate mitigation
 - · Greenhouse gas measurement and implementation of mitigation strategies
 - · Accountability of surface and aviation system contributions to climate change

- Shared cultural valuation of land, water, and ecosystems
- Water quality
- · Vehicle electrification and related infrastructure
- Access and Mobility All groups identified providing access to destinations and/or improving mobility as important, often relative to improving or creating multimodal options, improving access without driving, overcoming connectivity barriers, and maintaining/improving regional economic competitiveness.
- COVID-19 Some groups discussed travel behavior and needs changes since onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. The vision for transportation may need to adjust relative to telework; modal system needs, trip purpose, and right-of-way needs may change/have changed.
- Engagement, Transparency, and Accountability
 - One group mentioned importance of the vision being embraced by people and elected officials.
 - One group noted need to explain how large projects are decided.
 - Two groups brought up intentionality and quality of engagement in decisionmaking one regarding youth in climate and another on equity.
- Discussion on land use and related transportation investment choices differed among groups. This topic may need work to identify shared values.
 - One room mentioned how transportation investments influence sustainability of land use and growth.
 - One room mentioned need to serve a variety of community types, including growing areas, sharing concern the TPP may have an urban focus.
 - One room engaged on a discussion of urban form, location of mixed-use nodes, and travel to downtowns, between suburbs, and virtually.
- Discussions in a few rooms questioned the definition and purpose of the terms "balance" and "stewardship." Does balance define a distribution of funds by county, include equity considerations, and address balance between modes? Does stewardship also include doing the right thing and addressing impacts?
- Transportation: Breakout rooms were prompted to identify current or emerging issues that need to be addressed, and identify which need most attention.
 - COVID-19 Hybrid work has changed downtowns and growth patterns what does the future look like for downtowns and travel behavior?
 - Safety All groups discussed desire to improve safety culture. Transit security and perceptive safety need resolution.
 - Affordable housing and gentrification three groups mentioned growth and change may price existing residents or other uses out of neighborhoods.
 - Electrification Several electrification issues were raised, including impact on fuel tax revenue, siting charging infrastructure on public and private land, residential charging, future use of fuel stations.
 - Transportation finance is not keeping pace with system needs, particularly for pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure and aging asset management needs. Two groups noted electrification impacts fuel tax revenue.

- Freight one group discussed need to preserve land for freight and industrial uses, noting competing housing development pressures. Three groups mentioned pressures from delivery volume – how is public right-of-way allocated? How are oversized and overweight vehicles handled?
- TPP goals do not incorporate health there is a need to coordinate with MPCA and MDH.
- Climate mitigation strategies work to support mode shift, promote telework, reduce VMT.
- Other topics mentioned in less detail/frequency: emissions and delay reductions, investment equity, requiring transit-oriented development near transit investment, and multimodal access to destinations.

Council Contact:

Jed Hanson, Planner jed.hanson@metc.state.mn.us 651-602-1716