MEMBERS PRESENT: Jake Byers, Charlie Cochrane, Paul Czech, Bill Dermody, Innocent Eyoh, Jack Forslund, Nathaniel Hood, Anne Kane, Elaine Koutsoukos, Michael Larson, Jan Lucke, Joe Lux, Steve Mahowald, Katie White, Rachel Wiken

OTHERS PRESENT: Mark Filipi, Amy Vennewitz, Steve Peterson, Dave Burns, Patrick Haney, Daniel Pena.

1. Call to Order
   The Meeting was called to order by Chair Lucke

2. Adoption of the Agenda

3. Approval of the Minutes from the April 2018 meetings

4. Action Items

   2018-40: 2019 UPWP (Katie White)

   Katie White presented the 2019 UPWP. The document has been restructured since the last version seen at the end of 2017, including adding more financial information at the end of each task section. Project concluding in 2018 have been removed. White highlighted a handful of new projects beginning in 2019 (RBTN refinement and concept progression, model improvements) and as well big projects continuing into 2019 (CMP, TBI). White noted she will be incorporating changes from partner agencies and minor adjustments to numbers before going to TAC.

   Jack Byers moves, Joe Lux second. Motion passes.


   2018-43: Federal Performance Measure Adoption (Dave Burns)

   All Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) must adopt system performance targets and set performance targets in order to monitor progress. These performance measures are divided into the following four broad categories: 1) Safety Performance Measures (PM1); 2) Pavement/Bridge Performance Measures (PM2); 3) System Performance Measures and CMAQ (PM3); and 4) Transit Asset Management (TAM).

   Please see the link below for details on individual measures.

   Chair Lucke asked if these were annual goals or if there were any forward view. Burns replied they are to be set annually. Chair Lucke also asked if it was harder for us to compete for federal funds since we are so close to meeting so many of our measures. Bill Dermody seconded this thought and asked staff to consider reviewing how our goal setting affects our competitiveness. Katie White countered that in term of grant
writing, we can compare ourselves to other metro areas and their goals, saying “we are meeting our targets but are not near where we would like to be ideally.”

Katie White move, Paul Czech second. Motion passes.


5. Info Items

**Regional Balance in the Solicitation (Steve Peterson / Dave Burns)**

Steve Peterson and Dave Burns presented a staff project, on reviewing the spatial allocations of federal funds. Projects were reviewed and mapped, and tallies of project costs were compared by county, land use designation, sub regional quadrants. Peterson and Burns showed maps and dollar summaries.

Jack Byers took issues with the idea of spatially balanced funding being a goal. He encouraged staff to review our policy plan goals and measures, and look at how our funding is advancing those goals and not just how we are adding to the existing system. He used the example of a highway interchange project, which makes the system function more reliably, makes for higher value land use in remote locations, and encourages development. This is not financially sustainable, and it will promote land use which is contrary to our goals of healthy environment and sustainable investments.

Joe Lux would like to see it broken down by type of project.


6. Other Business

7. Adjournment
   Adjourn at 2:05